

## COMMENTS SENT TO BCC FOLLOWING THE PAG ON 30 APRIL 2018.

### Application Summary

**Address:** 36 Hampton Park Bristol BS6 6LH  
**Proposal:** Construction of a two bed house, sunken into existing rear garden.  
**Case Officer:** Patrick Boxwell  
[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne  
**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com  
**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

### Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Amenity - Residents Group  
**Stance:** Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application  
**Reasons for comment:**  
**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society considered the proposal a very interesting solution to accommodate a residential unit on this site. We suggest that a material for the elevation to Hampton Park more sensitive to the prevailing character of this part of the Whiteladies Road Conservation Area would improve the proposal.

## Application Summary

**Address:** Oculus House Lime Kiln Road Bristol BS1 5DN  
Proposed amendments to planning permission 16/05330/LA 26/04/2017 including amendments to new boundary wall materials, glazing arrangements to engine house and west purifier house, external changes to terrace areas to engine house and internal layout amendments to Engine House and West Purifier House.

**Proposal:**

**Case Officer:** Susannah Pettit  
[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne  
**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com  
**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Amenity - Residents Group  
**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application  
**Reasons for comment:**  
**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society considers that the setting of the Listed Building and the preservation and enhancement of the City Docks Conservation Area would be better served if the boundary wall with the Floating Harbour were built of stone rather than brick.

## Application Summary

**Address:** 349 Gloucester Road Horfield Bristol BS7 8TG  
Change of use of disused former retail unit (Use Class A1) to Public House (Use Class A4) including external alterations, extension and creation of an external roof terrace, external covered terrace to front and recessed pavement seating area.

**Proposal:**

**Case Officer:** David Macfadyen  
[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne  
**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com  
**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Amenity - Residents Group

**Stance:** Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society considers that the reuse of this building would be a planning gain. The Society is concerned, however, that neighbouring residents could be adversely affected by noise, particularly from the roof garden, and also unpleasant fumes from the cooking processes. We urge the Council to be satisfied that these concerns are overcome before permission is granted.

## Application Summary

**Address:** City Point Temple Gate Bristol BS1 6PL

**Proposal:** LED Digital Smartscreen.

**Case Officer:** Amy Prendergast

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne

**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com

**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Amenity - Residents Group

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society objects strongly to this proposal on the grounds of the harm it will cause to the amenity of the area and also on the danger it would pose to the safety of road users. Dealing first with amenity issues, the proposal, because of the intensity and reach of LED illumination and its size, 8 metres by 4 metres, would be harmful to the setting and appearance of the neighbouring complex of Listed buildings. These comprise the Grade I Listed Temple Meads and Brunel buildings, the Grade II\* Bristol and Exeter House and the Grade II George and Railway Hotel. The proposed sign would also be most prominent in the first view of Bristol that visitors see on leaving Temple Meads. Turning to road safety issues, the Society fully supports the comments made by the Council's transport officers on the previous refused application which drew members' attention to the risks to road safety. The Society is extremely concerned about the impact of this distracting advertisement on road safety. It is located at a complex and busy junction navigated by heavy volumes of motor traffic, cycles and pedestrians. Some of these will be hurrying to catch trains and could be taking higher than normal risks. It is essential, in these circumstances, that there should be no unnecessary distraction to road users. The Society strongly urges the Council to refuse this application.

## Application Summary

**Address:** 85 Queens Road Clifton Bristol BS8 1QS  
**Proposal:** 1 x internally illuminated Fascia Sign. 1x Internally illuminated Hanging Sign.  
**Case Officer:** Stephen Moore  
[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne  
**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com  
**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

## Comments Details

**Commenter**  
**Type:** Amenity - Residents Group  
**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application  
**Reasons for comment:**  
**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society objects to the proposed signage. The existing signage on this handsome twentieth century building is low key and suitable for the location in the Clifton Conservation area. The proposed heavy black background to the new fascia will jar with this signage and unbalance the symmetry of the front elevation of the building as will the entrance door to Lost and Found although it is not part of this application. The impact of the signage will be exacerbated by the internal illumination. The Society questions the need for an internally illuminated hanging sign. All in all, the proposed signage will have a harmful impact on the amenity and character of the conservation area.

## Application Summary

**Address:** Public Footpath West Side Of Bond Street South Bristol  
BS1 3EN

**Proposal:** This application seeks consent for the erection and display of a single sided advertising structure to be used to show illuminated advertisements capable of automatic change of image.

**Case Officer:** Tom Watson

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mr John Payne

**Email:** johnpayne997@btinternet.com

**Address:** 53 Zetland Road, Bristol BS6 7AJ

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Amenity - Residents Group

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**

**Comments:** Bristol Civic Society is extremely concerned about this application. The proposed very large LED sign measuring 8 metres by 5 metres and standing from 3 metres to 11 metres above ground level will have harmful impacts on neighbouring buildings including residential properties. The intense far reaching light from the proposed sign will bear directly upon St Mathias House. The resultant light pollution will add to the traffic noise and air quality issues that affect the residents in this building and harm the ambiance of Champion Square. Students in Phoenix House and hotel rooms on the opposite side of Bond Street will also be affected by the excessive brilliance of light emanating from the proposed sign. The Society is also concerned that the sign would prejudice the safety of road users in its vicinity. This is a very busy stretch of highway with a lot happening. The traffic going to the centre is frequently moving at different speeds from the traffic heading for the M32. The merging traffic from Old Market roundabout and the underpass is largely light controlled but not always at the extremes of the traffic light phases. There are pedestrian crossings north and south of the proposal and many cyclists use this road. The complexity of the traffic conditions require maximum concentration and the Society believes the sign would be a distraction which would increase the danger to road users. We urge the Council to refuse this application.

The following comment was placed on the following applications for two sided LED Inlink screens – 18/01711/F, 18/01712/A to 18/01825/F, 18/01826/A inclusive.

Bristol Civic Society objects strongly to the proliferation of LED advertising screens in Bristol. We see no valid reason for using the provision of phones and wifi links as a *raison d'être* for these intrusive screens when virtually everyone has a hand held device for these services. In terms of their size, the screens will be 2.9m high, 0.9m wide and 0.28m thick and much more conspicuous than the phone boxes they are replacing. The intensive, far reaching light emanating from LED screens is harmful to the neighbouring environment, the more so in Conservation Areas. The location of these screens at the pavement's edge will be a distraction for road users and thus prejudice their safety. This is one of at least 17 proposals for similar screens. Cumulatively, this is a huge increase in egregious advertising in central Bristol and should be strongly resisted. In view of the numbers of similar applications, the Society considers that the council should treat the applications as a strategic issue to be considered at Committee.